BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

CARY WHITNEY.

Claimant,

v.

SYSCO CORPORATION,

Employer,

and

AMERICAN ZURICH INSURANCE COMPANY,

Surety,

Defendants.

IC 2017-003966

ORDER GRANTING RECONSIDERATION AND VACATING DISMISSAL

Filed 7/5/18

On May 1, 2018, the Industrial Commission filed a notice of intent to recommend dismissal without prejudice due to case inactivity. The Commission notified Claimant that he was expected to respond within twenty-one (21) days with an explanation why this case should not be dismissed. On June 1, 2018, the Commission issued an order dismissing Claimant's complaint, which was premised on Claimant's failure to respond to its notice of intent to recommend dismissal. Thereafter, on June 11, 2018, Claimant's Attorney filed a motion for reconsideration and attached affidavit. Claimant's attorney explained that he drafted a responsive memorandum to the notice of intent to recommend dismissal, but learned the same was not filed when he received the Commission's dismissal. Defendants did not file a response.

A decision of the Commission, in the absence of fraud, shall be final and conclusive as to all matters adjudicated, provided that within 20 days from the date of the filing of the decision, any party may move for reconsideration. Idaho Code § 72-718. However, "[i]t is axiomatic that a claimant must present to the Commission new reasons factually and legally to support a

ORDER GRANTING RECONSIDERATION AND VACATING DISMISSAL - 1

hearing on her Motion for Rehearing/Reconsideration rather than rehashing evidence previously presented." Curtis v. M.H. King Co., 142 Idaho 383, 388, 128 P.3d 920 (2005).

On reconsideration, the Commission will examine the evidence in the case and determine whether the evidence presented supports the legal conclusions. The Commission is not compelled to make findings on the facts of the case during reconsideration. <u>Davidson v. H.H.</u>

<u>Keim Co., Ltd.</u>, 110 Idaho 758, 718 P.2d 1196 (1986). The Commission may reverse its decision upon a motion for reconsideration, or rehear the decision in question, based on the arguments presented, or upon its own motion, provided that it acts within the time frame established in Idaho Code § 72-718. <u>See, Dennis v. School District No. 91</u>, 135 Idaho 94, 15 P.3d 329 (2000) (citing <u>Kindred v. Amalgamated Sugar Co.</u>, 114 Idaho 284, 756 P.2d 410 (1988)). A motion for reconsideration must be properly supported by a recitation of the factual findings and/or legal conclusions with which the moving party takes issue. However, the Commission is not inclined to re-weigh evidence and arguments during reconsideration simply because the case was not resolved in a party's favor.

Claimant's attorney avers he is and has been actively pursuing this case and offered specific examples of such, including, *inter alia*, a phone conversation with his client the day prior to receiving the dismissal. Defendants did not object to reinstating Claimant's Complaint or raise any statute of limitations arguments. The Commission finds that Claimant promptly acted to mitigate the consequences of his oversight. In addition, Claimant has shown good cause for reinstatement of the Complaint.

On its own motion, and in accordance with Idaho Code § 72-719, the Commission finds it necessary to vacate its June 1, 2018 order dismissing Claimant's complaint. Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Claimant's request for reconsideration is

GRANTED, and the Commission's order	dismissing Claimant's complaint, filed June 1, 2013
hereby VACATED. IT IS SO ORDER	ED.
DATED this5th day of	July2018.
	INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION
	/s/ Thomas E. Limbaugh, Chairman
	/s/ Thomas P. Baskin, Commissioner
	/s/ Aaron White, Commissioner
ATTEST:	
/s/ Assistant Commission Secretary	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	I h	ereby	certify that	t on this _	5th	day	of	_July	201	8, a tru	ie and correc	
copy	of	the	foregoing	ORDER	GRAN	TING	RECO	NSIDER	ATION	AND	VACATING	
DISMISSAL was served by regular United States Mail upon each of the following:												
NATI	HAN	T. G	AMEL									
1226	EAS	T KA	ARCHER R	OAD								
NAM	PA,	ID 83	3687-3075									
SUSA	AN V	ELT	MAN									
1703	WΗ	ILL I	RD									
BOIS	E, II	837	02									
SNR						/s/						